C(81)'-C(81)-O(80) and C(81)-C(81)'-O(80)'. The presence of the solvent molecule did not significantly affect the refinement of the crystal structure.

References

- ALDOSHIN, S. M., D'YACHENKO, O. A., TKACHEV, V. V. & ATOVMYAN, L. O. (1981). Koord. Khim. 7, 287–296.
- BRODERSEN, V. K. & HUMMEL, H. U. (1982). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 491, 34–38.
- DOBLER, M. (1981). Ionophores and Their Structures. New York: John Wiley.
- HILGENFELD, R. & SAENGER, W. (1981). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 20, 1045–1046.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)

- IZATT, R. M., EATOUGH, D. J. & CHRISTENSEN, J. J. (1973). Thermodynamics of Cation-Macrocyclic Compound Interaction. In Structure and Bonding, No. 16, New York: Springer-Verlag. JEFFREY, J. W. & ROSE, K. M. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 653-662.
- KAWASAKI, Y. & MATSUURA, Y. (1984). Chem. Lett. pp. 155-158.
 LARSON, S. B. & DALLEY, N. K. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1309-1312.
- Мок, К. F. (1988). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 142 (1), 27-28.
- MOK, K. F., MCKEE, V. & ROBINSON, W. T. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 2093–2096.
- NAGANO, O. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 465-467.
- POLADYAN, V. E., ERMAKOV, A. A., SOKOLOVA, N. P., AVLESOVICH, L. M., PAKHOL'CHUK, S. F. & MUKELO, K. A. (1984). Zh. Neorg. Khim. 29, 1192–1196.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1984). SHELXTL. Revision 4-1. Nicolet XRD Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1985). SHELXTL. Revision 5-1 (Eclipse 32K Version). Nicolet XRD Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. UDUPA, M. R. & KREBS, B. (1980). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 42, 37-41.

Acta Cryst. (1989). C45, 204-206

Structure of Tetrakis{[1-(phenyltriazene-1,3-diyl)-2-(phenyltriazenyl)benzene]copper(I)}

By MANFREDO HÖRNER AND HERTON FENNER

Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Departamento de Quimica, 97.111 Santa Maria – RS, Brazil

WOLFGANG HILLER

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 18, D-7400 Tübingen, Federal Republic of Germany

AND JOHANNES BECK

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Karlsruhe, Engesserstrasse, D-7500 Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany

(Received 28 March 1988; accepted 30 August 1988)

Abstract. [$\{C_6H_5NNNC_6H_4NNN(H)C_6H_5\}Cu]_4$, $M_r = 1515.60$, orthorhombic, *Pbcn*, a = 20.552 (2), b = 18.996 (3), c = 17.140 (3) Å, V = 6691.4 Å³, Z = 4, $D_x = 1.504$ g cm⁻³, $\lambda(Cu K\alpha) = 1.54184$ Å, $\mu = 19.028$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 3104, T = 293 K. The final R value is 0.058 for 2319 significant $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ reflections. In the tetrameric complex of site symmetry 2 each Cu atom of the distorted Cu₄ rhombus is bonded to two *ortho* N atoms and to one N atom of the bridging N1, N3 function of the ligands. The Cu...Cu distances are 2.650 (2) and 2.684 (2) Å, respectively.

Introduction. Triazeno ligands in metal complexes are monodentate, (N1,N3)-chelating and bridging (Moore & Robinson, 1986); (N1,N1)- and (N1,N2)-bridges and coordination via N2 or an η^3 -structure similar to the η^3 -allyl complexes have not yet been observed. We synthesized a new bis(triazene) ligand with both N₃

0108-2701/89/020204-03\$03.00

units in one molecule to extend the possibilities of coordination and to force close contacts between metal atoms.

Experimental. The reaction of 1,2-bisphenyltriazenobenzene with $Cu(NH_3)_4Cl$ in ether/methanol yields dark red crystals of the title compound. The parameters for intensity-data collection, structure solution and refinement are listed in Table 1. The final atomic coordinates are given in Table 2, selected bond distances and angles in Table 3.* Figs. 1 and 2 show the numbering scheme and a stereoview, respectively.

© 1989 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, planes, further distances and angles, and torsion angles have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51373 (18 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 1. Data collection and structure refinement parameters

Table 2. Positional parameters, equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $U_{ea}(Å^2)$ for the Cu and N atoms atoms

Crystal shape, dimensions (mm)	and isotropic thermal parameters U_{iso} for the second					e C atoms
Diffractometer used	CAD-4, Enraf–Nonius	$U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3}$ (trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} matrix).				:>
Method of intensity measurement	θ/2θ					
Number and θ range (°) of reflections			r	ν	7	$U_{\rm e}/U_{\rm bec}$
for lattice parameters	25; 11–24	Cul	0 4806 (1)	0 1950 (1)	0 2620 (1)	0 0742 (8)
Absorption coefficient $\mu(cm^{-1})$	19.028	Cu1	0.4105 (1)	0.1039(1)	0.3039(1)	0.0774 (8)
Method used for absorption correction	DIFABS (Walker & Stuart, 1983)	Cu2	0.4840 (3)	0.2851 (2)	0.2022 (4)	0.067 (4)
Minimum absorption correction	0.654	N2	0.4577(3)	0.3347(3)	0.3508 (4)	0.066 (5)
Maximum absorption correction	1.171	N2 N2	0.4309 (3)	0.3157(4)	0.2868 (4)	0.063 (4)
Average absorption correction	0.981	IN S N/A	0.4309 (3)	0.3137(4) 0.2861(4)	0.1608 (4)	0.003 (4)
Maximum value of $(\sin\theta)/\lambda$ reached in		N4 N5	0.3029(4) 0.3254(4)	0.2601(4)	0.1008 (4)	0.088 (6)
intensity measurement (A ⁻¹)	0.588	NG NG	0.3234 (4)	0.2094 (4)	0.0077 (5)	0.080 (0)
Range of h, k and l	$0 \rightarrow 24, 0 \rightarrow 22, 0 \rightarrow 20$	N21	0.6067(3)	0.1249(4)	0.2648 (4)	0.069 (5)
Standard reflections	371; 480	N27	0.5757(3)	0.0750(4)	0.3015 (4)	0.065(4)
Interval, std. reflections measured	2h, no intensity variation	N22	0.5257(3)	0.0943 (4)	0.3402(4)	0.067 (5)
Total number of reflections measured;	6271;65	N23	0.4225 (3)	0 1185 (4)	0.4451(4)	0.079 (5)
θ range (°)	(587 systematic absences included)	N25	0.4027(4)	0.1255(4)	0.5078 (5)	0.088 (6)
Number of observed reflections	$2319 I > 3\sigma(I) $	N26	0.3944(4)	0.1922(4)	0.5285 (5)	0.098 (6)
Methods used to solve structure	Patterson, subsequent difference	CUI	0.5008 (4)	0.3092(4)	0.4644 (5)	0.073 (6)
	Fourier	C12	0.4982 (6)	0.3772(5)	0.4923 (6)	0.098 (6)
Refinement	Cu, N atomic positions with anisotropic	C12	0.5231 (6)	0.3956 (6)	0.5656 (7)	0.118(7)
	thermal parameters, C isotropic	C14	0.5576 (6)	0.3484 (6)	0.6078 (7)	0.124(7)
Use of F or F^2 in LS refinement	F	C14	0.5692 (5)	0.2827 (6)	0.5812 (7)	0.109(7)
Method of locating hydrogens	Not found in ΔF map	C16	0.5438 (5)	0.2623 (5)	0.5087 (6)	0.086 (6)
	H's of phenyl groups calculated and only	C10	0.4082 (4)	0.2023(3)	0.2409 (5)	0.070 (5)
	included in structure factor calculation	C32	0.3740 (4)	0.3579 (5)	0.1754(5)	0.077 (6)
Weighting scheme	1/02	C32	0.3519(5)	0.4121(6)	0.1248 (6)	0.103 (6)
Parameters refined	272	C34	0.3681(5)	0.4800 (6)	0.1457 (7)	0.111(7)
Value of R	0.058	C35	0.4015 (5)	0.4957 (6)	0.2115 (6)	0.098 (6)
Value of wR	0.055	C36	0.4223 (4)	0.4435(4)	0.2597 (6)	0.079 (5)
Ratio of max. LS shift to e.s.d. (Δ/σ)	0.017	C61	0.2766(4)	0.1720(5)	0.0421(6)	0.082(5)
Max. height in final ΔF map (e A ⁻³)	0.32	C62	0.2468 (6)	0.2122(6)	-0.0142 (6)	0.106 (6)
Error in an observation of unit weight	3.564	C63	0.2043 (6)	0.1801 (6)	~0.0676 (7)	0.122 (7)
Secondary-extinction coefficient	$4.9267(1) \times 10^{-6}$ (Zachariasen, 1963)	C64	0.1931 (6)	0.1115(6)	-0.0634(7)	0.115 (6)
Sources of atomic scattering factors	International Tables for X-ray	C65	0.2240(6)	0.0708 (6)	-0.0081(7)	0.124(7)
	Crystallography (1974)	C66	0.2658(5)	0.1027 (6)	0.0438 (6)	0.100 (6)
Computer used	DEC MicroVAX II	C211	0.6637(4)	0.1007(5)	0.2255 (5)	0.072 (5)
Programs used	VAXSDP, version 3.0 (1986)	C212	0.6901 (5)	0.0360(5)	0.2401 (6)	0.098 (6)
	(Frenz, 1980)	C212	0.7476 (6)	0.0158 (6)	0.2025 (7)	0.119(7)
		C213	0.7772(5)	0.0599 (6)	0.1514 (6)	0.106 (6)
		C215	0.7522(6)	0.1224(6)	0.1350(7)	0.115(7)
	R	C216	0.6938(5)	0.1439(6)	0.1745 (6)	0.096 (6)
	Г¢	C231	0.4915(4)	0.0354(4)	0.3706 (5)	0.071(5)
		C232	0.4417(4)	0.0487(5)	0.4240 (5)	0.075 (5)
	្តីន	C233	0.4029 (5)	-0.0054 (6)	0.4527 (6)	0.090 (6)
	J.	C234	0.4156(5)	-0.0731(6)	0.4290 (6)	0.104 (6)
	<i>[</i>	C235	0.4658(5)	-0.0870(5)	0.3775 (6)	0.096 (6)
C211-C210	6	C236	0.5042(5)	-0.0337 (5)	0.3475 (5)	0.085 (6)
9 b	Ľ	C261	0.3692 (5)	0.2057 (5)	0.6038 (6)	0.092 (6)
	Y a	C262	0.3425 (6)	0.1538(6)	0.6513 (7)	0.119 (7)
5		C263	0-3171 (6)	0.1701 (7)	0.7249 (8)	0.138 (7)
		C264	0.3174 (6)	0.2372 (6)	0.7480 (8)	0.134 (7)
	- CIAN	C265	0.3399 (6)	0.2893 (7)	0.7017 (8)	0.137 (8)
N21		C266	0.3665 (6)	0.2730 (6)	0.6301 (7)	0.122 (7)
1			• •		• •	
N22 🍟 🍴	C31-C36					
	N2 NZ U COR			0		م
						*



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view of the title complex.

Discussion. Each ligand is N1,N3-bridging with one of its N₃ units also chelating with the ortho N atoms (N3, N4). The N₃ units bridge the Cu atoms alternately above and below the resulting distorted Cu₄ rhombus. Similar tetrameric units are reported from 1,3-dimethyltriazenocopper(I) (O'Connor, Janusonis &

C11-C16

¢,

G

Corey, 1968) and 1,3-bis(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)triazenocopper(I) (Hartmann & Strähle, 1988). In the title compound the dihedral angle between the planes (Cu1, Cu2, Cu2ⁱ) and (Cu2, Cu2ⁱ, Cu1ⁱ) is 140.5 (1)°. Compared with 152.2° observed in 1,3-bis(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)triazenocopper(I), the larger deviation

e.s.d.'s

Symmetry	operator:	(i) 1	x. v. ().5 - z.
~	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	··/ -	,,,.	

Cu1····Cu2	2.650 (2)	Cu1····Cu1 ⁱ	3.927 (2)
Cu1····Cu2 ⁱ	2.684 (2)	Cu2···Cu2 ⁱ	3.324 (2)
Cu1-N1	1.950 (6)	Cu2-N21	1.942 (7)
Cu1-N23	1.934 (7)	Cu2N3	1.945 (7)
Cu1-N24	2.225 (7)	Cu2–N4	2-161 (8)
N1N2	1.298 (9)	N21–N22	1.304 (9)
N2-N3	1.280 (9)	N22–N23	1-276 (9)
N4-N5	1.27 (2)	N24–N25	1.24 (2)
N5-N6	1.32 (1)	N25–N26	1.33 (1)
Cu2-Cu1-Cu2 ¹	77.08 (5)	Cu1–Cu2–Cu1 ⁱ	94-82 (6)
Cu2-Cu1-N1	84-9 (2)	Cu1-Cu2-N21 ⁱ	86-2 (2)
Cu2-Cu1-N23	106-3 (2)	Cu1-Cu2-N3	81.5 (2)
Cu2-Cu1-N24	111.4 (2)	Cu1–Cu2–N4	160.9 (2)
Cu2 ⁱ -Cu1-N1	87.4 (2)	Culi-Cu2-N2li	84.7 (2)
Cu2 ⁱ -Cu1-N23	80.1 (2)	Cu1 ⁱ -Cu2-N3	115-2 (2)
Cu2 ^I –Cu1–N24	159-4 (2)	Cull-Cu2-N4	95.6 (2)
N1-Cu1-N23	160-8 (3)	N21 ¹ -Cu2-N3	157-3 (3)
N1-Cu1-N24	111.6 (3)	N21 ⁱ -Cu2-N4	110-6 (3)
N23-Cu1-N24	79-4 (3)	N3-Cu2-N4	79.6 (3)
Cu1-N1-N2	126-1 (5)	Cu2 ¹ N21N22	125-9 (5)
Cul-Nl-Cll	120-5 (5)	Cu2 ⁱ -N21-C211	120.9 (5)
N2-N1-C11	113-5 (6)	N22-N21-C211	113-3 (7)
N1-N2-N3	116-4 (7)	N21-N22-N23	115.7 (7)
Cu2-N3-N2	131-0 (5)	Cu1-N23-N22	132.6 (5)
Cu2-N3-C31	115-8 (5)	Cu1-N23-C231	116-2 (5)
N2-N3-C31	113-2 (7)	N22-N23-C231	111.2 (7)
Cu2-N4-N5	131.8 (6)	Cu1-N24-N25	137.6 (6)
Cu2-N4-C32	109.7 (6)	Cu1-N24-C232	108-4 (5)
N5-N4-C32	118-4 (8)	N25–N24–C232	113-2 (7)
N4—N5—N6	112.5 (8)	N24-N25-N26	113-4 (8)
N5-N6-C61	121.08 (8)	N25-N26-C261	117.6 (8)

from planarity might be caused by the bridging and chelating ligand $C_6H_5NNNC_6H_4NNN(H)C_6H_5$. Obviously the influence of the ligands leads to angles Cu···Cu of 77.08 (5) and 94.82 (6)°, whereas in the above mentioned compound the Cu₄ unit is almost rectangular with angles of $88.30(1)^\circ$. Of note are the

Table 3. Selected distances (Å) and angles (°) with Cu...Cu distances with values of 2.650(2) and 2.684 (2) Å, which may be interpreted as weak $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions (Mehrotra & Hoffmann, 1978). The distances Cu-N(azenediyl) range from 1.934 (7) to 1.950(6) Å, Cu–N(azenyl) from 2.161 (8) to 2.225 (7) Å. In $[(F_3CC_6H_4NNNC_6H_4CF_3)Cu]_4$ the N-Lewis base causes shorter Cu-N distances [1.882(7) Å] and the Cu···Cu distances decrease to 2.579 (1) Å.

> We acknowledge the receipt of a grant from DAAD (MH) and CNPq (HF), and we thank the GTZ for financing the research projects and Professor Dr J. Strähle for providing us with facilities and his support.

References

FRENZ, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP - A Real-Time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Determination. In Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. SCHENK, R. OLTHOF-HAZEKAMP, H. VAN KONINGSVELD & G. C. BASSI, pp. 64-71.

HARTMANN, E. & STRÄHLE, J. (1988). Z. Naturforsch. In the press.

International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV, Table 2.2A. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)

- MEHROTRA, P. K. & HOFFMANN, R. (1978). Inorg. Chem. 17, 2187-2190.
- MOORE, D. S. & ROBINSON, S. D. (1986). Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 30, 1–68.
- O'CONNOR, J. E., JANUSONIS, G. A. & COREY, E. R. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 445-446.
- WALKER, N. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A 39, 158-166.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.

Acta Cryst. (1989). C45, 206-208

The Structure of Selenium(IV) Oxo Pinacolate (4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1, $3,2\lambda^4$ -dioxaselenole 2-Oxide)

BY DAINIS DAKTERNIEKS,* ROBERT W. GABLE AND BERNARD F. HOSKINS

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia 3052

(Received 27 April 1988; accepted 20 September 1988)

Abstract. C₆H₁₂O₃Se, $M_r = 211 \cdot 1$, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 6.7584 (5), b = 12.1616 (7), c = 10.6218 (11) Å, $\beta = 98 \cdot 290 \ (8)^{\circ}, U = 863 \cdot 9 \text{ Å}^3, Z = 4, D_x = 1 \cdot 623,$ $D_{\rm m}$ (flotation) = 1.62 Mg m⁻³, F(000) = 424, λ (Cu K $\bar{\alpha}$) = 1.5418 Å, $\mu = 5.636$ mm⁻¹, T = 295 (2) K, R =0.048 for 1621 observed reflections. The Se atom is in a tetrahedral environment surrounded by three O atoms and a stereochemically active lone pair. The Se atom further interacts with an O atom from each of two adjacent molecules [Se···O 2.885(3) and 2.932(3) Å] to give a linear polymer which lies along the *a* axis.

Introduction. As part of our investigation of the stereochemistry and reactivity of hypervalent Group 16 complexes, we have synthesized the title compound (I), $(C_{c}H_{12}O_{2})$ Se(O), in order to assess its Lewis base properties. In principle, $(C_6H_{12}O_2)Se(O)$ could act as a Lewis base by coordination from either the O or the Se atoms. We have subsequently determined the crystal

© 1989 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Present address: Division of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Deakin University, Waurn Ponds, Victoria 3217, Australia.